

UMWELTFORSCHUNGSPLAN DES BUNDESMINISTERIUMS FÜR UMWELT,  
NATURSCHUTZ UND REAKTORSICHERHEIT

- Wasserwirtschaft -

Forschungsbericht 297 81 139

UBA-FB 99-041

### **Development of indicators for the assessment of agricultural impacts on the environment**

Uwe Geier, Markus Meudt, Bettina Rudloff, Hans-Peter Schick, Guido Urfei

#### **Short version**

This report summarises the results of a project commissioned by the Federal Ministry of Environment, Nature Conservation and Nuclear Safety and co-ordinated by the Federal Environmental Agency. Contractor was the Institute of European Integration Research e.V.. The project has been carried out in an interdisciplinary approach as a co-operation between the Institute of Agricultural Botany, the Institute of Organic Agriculture, and the Institute of Agricultural Policy of the University of Bonn.

The aim of this study is to develop a set of indicators that could be continuously used for a national environmental monitoring which focuses on specific agri-environmental effects.

Starting point was the identification of relevant agricultural impacts on the environment. There are different approaches to define and describe environmental effects: activities (e.g. fertilizing), medias of the environment (e.g. water), and environmental problems or impact categories (e.g. eutrophication). In this report the approach of defining impact categories is used. These categories were selected by comparing several surveys on agricultural and generally important environmental effects.

In addition, the agricultural responsibility for environmental effects was considered by identifying the sectoral contribution (e.g. the agricultural contribution to the national global warming potential). As a result 15 impact categories were selected which take into account all important environmental impacts of agriculture (Table 1).

**Table 1: Important environmental impact categories of agriculture**

Impact categories
1. Biodiversity
2. Landscape image
3. Soil function (soil protection)
4. Drinking water quality (Drinking water protection)
5. Eutrophication
6. Acidification
7. Ecotoxicity
8. Human toxicity
9. Global warming potential
10. Resource depletion
11. Odour
12. Animal welfare
13. Diversity of cultivated plants and livestock
14. Ozone depletion
15. Use of genetically manipulated organisms

### **Proposal of indicators**

In the next step the indicators to measure the 15 selected environmental impacts have been defined to create a national monitoring-system. The indicators have been evaluated with respect to the following questions:

- Is it necessary to vary the indicator with regard to space and time?
- Do standards of the indicator exist to interpret the results, such as limit values or environmental quality targets?
- What are the relevant data resources?
- What efforts are necessary to implement the indicators?
- Which problems have to be overcome to implement the indicators?

As a result a set of indicators for the 15 selected impact categories was presented. The indicators have been classified following the Pressure-State-Response-Approach developed by the OECD.

An assessment of the expected effort by implementing the indicators and the needed further research is given as a relevant information for the responsible political institutions.

Table 2 summarises the proposed indicators.

**Table 2a: Summary and assessment of the proposed indicators**

Environmental impact categories	Proposed indicators	Effort of implementation	Needs
1. Biodiversity	<p><u>State</u>: Presence and population size of key farmland species</p> <p><u>State resp. Pressure</u>: Area with importance concerning nature conservation (margins, hedges, agricultural land under commitment to environmental conservation, semi-natural biotopes) and their cross-linkage</p> <p><u>Pressure</u>: Intensity of land use (nutrient balance, pesticide management, share of grassland, intensity of grassland use, livestock density)</p>	<p>large</p> <p>little up to medium</p> <p>little up to medium</p>	<p>need of further research and new data gathering</p> <p>analysis of existing data and new data gathering</p> <p>analysis of existing data, partly need of further research and new data gathering</p>
2. Landscape image			
Degree of naturalness	<p><u>State</u>: Area and distribution of natural and semi-natural biotopes</p> <p><u>State</u>: Area and distribution of cultural features of agricultural landscape</p>	<p>medium up to large</p> <p>medium up to large</p>	<p>analysis of existing data, and new data gathering</p> <p>new data gathering</p>
Diversity	<p><u>State</u>: Scale of fields and areas of transition from field to field</p> <p><u>State</u>: Numbers of crops and their distribution in the agricultural landscape</p>	<p>little up to medium</p> <p>little up to medium</p>	<p>analysis of existing data, and partly data gathering</p> <p>analysis of existing data, and partly new data gathering</p>
Characteristics	<u>State</u> : Number of characteristic and visually valuable landscape components	Large	need of research and new data gathering
Harmony	<u>State</u> : Integration of farms into the landscape	large	need of research and new data gathering



**Table 2b: Summary and assessment of the proposed indicators**

Environment al impact categories	Proposed indicators	Effort of impleme ntation	Needs
3. Soil functions			
Compaction	<u>State:</u> Use of the permanent monitoring plots <u>Pressure:</u> Further development of simple soil management practice indicators	large	new data capture  partly in need of further research
Erosion	<u>Pressure:</u> Assessing the potential of erosion (t/ha) on a scale of 1:25.000 on the basis of the Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE). Degree of soil cover	medium	partly new data gathering and need of further research
Organic matter content	<u>State:</u> Use of the permanent monitoring plots. The transmission of existing methods to calculate the soil organic matter balance has to be proved	large	new data gathering and partly in need of further research
Accumulatio n of toxic substances in agricultural topsoils	<u>Pressure:</u> Description of the heavy metal input with sewage slurry and other urban biomass wastes on a regional scale. Supplementation by other toxic substances is possible	little	analysis of existing data
4. Drinking water quality	<u>State:</u> Results of the waterworks about the contents of pesticides and nitrate in the drinking water and the ground water. Pesticide contents in surface waters <u>Pressure:</u> Intensity of pesticide use (amount), N balance (PARCOM-Approach), area without pesticide use, length of permanent covered margins aside the bank.  Enquiry on the implementation of the integrated plant protection management on a regional scale	little  little  large	analysis of existing data  partly new data gathering  need of further research and new data gathering
5. Eutrophica- tion	<u>State:</u> Results from the <i>measuring points</i> of the surface and ground water concerning N and P. N deposition from agricultural sources. Eutrophication of forest soils referring to critical loads <u>Pressure:</u> Development of an ammonia emission indicator. Enquiries on a regional level. N and P surplus (after PARCOM), length of permanent covered margins aside the bank	Little  little up to large	analysis of existing data  partly in need of further research and data gathering
6. Acidification	<u>State:</u> N deposition from agricultural sources. Acidification of forest soils referring to critical loads <u>Pressure:</u> Development of an ammonia emssion indicator. Enquiries on a regional level	little  large	analysis of existing data  partly in need of further research and data gathering

**Table 2c: Summary and assessment of the proposed indicators**

Environmental impact categories	Proposed indicators	Effort of implementation	Needs
7. Global warming potential	<u>Pressure:</u> Emissions of methane and dinitrogen oxide. The Federal Department of Agriculture and Food should improve its statistics concerning carbon dioxide emission	little	analysis of existing data concerning CO <sub>2</sub>
8. Resource depletion	<u>Pressure:</u> Use of primary energy, use of phosphorus and potassium in mineral fertilisers and feed supplements. Nutrient efficiency of N, P und K. Import of feeding stuff	little	analysis of existing data
9. Ecotoxicity	<u>Pressure:</u> Use of pesticides (amounts), use of feed additives and medicines in animal production  In addition, the ecotoxicity of the single pesticides should be evaluated	little  medium	analysis of existing data  need of coordination: Evaluation with existing methods
10. Human toxicity	<u>State:</u> The pesticide content has to be considered in the existing environmental survey (of food) and the report about drinking water quality  Data from the food monitoring programme should be included	little  medium	analysis of existing data
11. Odour	<u>State:</u> The appropriateness of the indicator ammonia emission has to be proved, using inspections on a regional scale	medium	partly in need of further research
12. Animal welfare	<u>Pressure:</u> Evaluation of housing conditions (for cattle and pigs) concerning animal welfare. The use of existing methods is proposed. An approach to generate samples has to be developed  Share of different kinds of housing conditions in poultry keeping (e.g. free range keeping, battery keeping)  Indicators of transport and slaughtering: losses of livestock during the transport, share of modern slaughterhouses and livestock transporters	large  little  little	need of further research new data gathering  partly new data gathering  partly new data gathering
13. Diversity of cultivated plants and livestock	<u>State:</u> Survey on the endangered cultivated plants and livestock species and varieties  <u>Response:</u> Measures to conserve endangered cultivated plants and livestock species and varieties	little  little	analysis of existing data
14. Ozone depletion	<u>Pressure:</u> Amount and agricultural share of the emissions of dinitrogen oxide	little	use of existing data
15. Use of genetically manipulated organisms	Pressure and State indicators are actually not describable  Measures of monitoring could be used as response indicators	little	

Furthermore, already existing approaches in Germany and the possible integration of the proposed indicators are discussed. This information might be important concerning the political application of the presented indicators by gains due to synergy effects.

## **RAUMIS**

The economic sector-model RAUMIS, developed at the Institute of Agricultural Policy of the University of Bonn, provides several indicators to describe agricultural impacts on the environment, e.g. mineral balances or the calculation of greenhouse gases. The appropriateness of the model RAUMIS to contribute to an environmental monitoring was proved. Some potentials of improvement have been discussed.

## **Systems of national accounts**

Two approaches are used to describe the environmental effects on the national and international level. Besides the exclusive environmental monitoring, several projects are striving for the integration of environmental effects into the existing system of national accounting. Different approaches have been discussed. Emphasis was put on the „Environmental-Economic Accounting,, (Umweltökonomische Gesamtrechnung) of the Federal Statistical Office.

The existing indicators of the Environmental-Economic Accounting have been evaluated concerning the incompleteness on the basis of the own proposed indicators. The statistical approach of the „Ecological sample survey,, (Ökologische Flächenstichprobe) could be a useful framework to describe biodiversity via direct indicators. In this report this approach has been analyzed with specific respect to the consideration of the agricultural sector.

## **Conclusions**

Finally, an evaluation of the actual national environmental monitoring has been given. The need of further research to complete the monitoring is summarised (Table 3): some environmental impacts are hardly considered, especially those which are caused primarily by agriculture like biodiversity, landscape image, soil functions and animal welfare. The main emphasis of the previous environmental monitoring concerning agriculture is put on nutrients and greenhouse gases.

**Table 3: Degree of consideration of the proposed indicators for agriculture in the existing environmental monitoring**

Environmental impact categories	Consideration in the previous national environmental monitoring
1. Biodiversity	partly
2. Landscape image	partly
3. Soil functions	
Compaction	no
Erosion	no
Organic matter	no
Input of toxic substances	no
4. Drinking water quality	partly
5. Eutrophication	partly
6. Acidification	partly
7. Global warming potential	mainly
8. Resource depletion	partly
9. Ecotoxicity	partly
10. Human toxicity	mainly
11. Odour	no
12. Animal welfare	no
13. Diversity of cultivated plants and livestock	no
14. Ozone depletion	yes (indirect)
15. Use of genetically manipulated organisms	no